



UNC
SCHOOL OF LAW

NORTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW

Volume 81 | Number 6

Article 11

9-1-2003

Recent Developments

North Carolina Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

North Carolina Law Review, *Recent Developments*, 81 N.C. L. REV. (2003).

Available at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr/vol81/iss6/11>

This Recent Developments is brought to you for free and open access by Carolina Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Carolina Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact law_repository@unc.edu.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

A Dead Senator on the Ballot: Should the Successor's Appointment be Preordained?	692
Cary's Government-Funded Municipal Elections: A Model for North Carolina?	1845
Death and the Partnership Principle: Interpreting Recent Abatement Amendments to North Carolina's Equitable Distribution Act	1089
Fidelity at the Workplace: The Two-Faced Nature of the Duty of Loyalty Under <i>Dalton v. Camp</i>	1815
<i>First Union v. SunTrust</i> and The Delaware Experience: An Analysis of Deal Protection Measures	2109
<i>Hendricks v. Sanks</i> : One Small Step for the Continued Parental Support of Disabled Children Beyond the Age of Majority in North Carolina.....	2094
Israeli Counter-Terrorism: "Targeted Killings" Under International Law	1069
Manufactured Homes Under U.C.C. Revised Article 9: A New Conflict Between Certificates of Title and Financing Statements.....	1829
Picture It: Red Light Cameras Abide by the Law of the Land	1879
Preemption Hogwash: North Carolina's Judicial Repeal of Local Authority to Regulate Hog Farms in <i>Craig v. County of Chatham</i>	2121
Structured Sentencing and the Puzzling Statutory Maximum Punishment: <i>Appendi's</i> Impact on North Carolina Sentencing Law	1033
The Medical Peer Review Privilege After <i>Virmani</i>	1860
Web-Based Investment Securities: Should Personal Stock-baskets Be Subject to 1933 Act Registration?	353
Well Isn't That Special? The Supreme Court's Immediate Purpose of Restricting the Doctrine of Special Needs in <i>Ferguson v. City of Charleston</i>	1050