ARTICLE


1. p. 415, third sentence in first full paragraph: “because the Supreme Court decisions” should read “because Supreme Court decisions”.

2. p. 416, last sentence of second paragraph in footnote 7: “in immigration matters, see Kevin P. Johnson” should read “in immigration matters, contributing to the Bush Administration’s treatment of Haitians, see Kevin R. Johnson”.

3. p. 417, second to last sentence of the first paragraph: “in violations of” should read “in violation of”.

4. p. 441, first sentence in first full paragraph: “ideological tenants” should read “ideological tenets”.

5. p. 453, footnote 189: “deference by the courts,” should read “deference by the courts to executive branch asylum decisions,”.

6. p. 466, second sentence in first full paragraph: “disputed” should read “dispositive”.

7. p. 468, third sentence in third paragraph: “extrajudicial” should read “extratextual”.

8. p. 474, footnote 294: “discussed the precedents set by INS” should read “discussed INS”.

9. p. 475, footnote 297: “intended the Refugee Act” should read “designed the Refugee Act”.


11. p. 478, first sentence in first full paragraph: “to return Doherty.” should read “to return Doherty there.”

12. p. 485, first sentence in first full paragraph: “not even remote hinting” should read “not even remotely hinting”.

vii
13. p. 490, first two sentences in first full paragraph: “serious constitutional questions. From” should read “serious constitutional questions, from”.

ESSAY

1. p. 1126, subheading a: “Manditory” should read “Mandatory”.

RESPONSE

1. p. 1153 et seq., running head: “Orignial” should read “Original”.

NOTE

1. p. 1205, first full sentence: “Artist M. remains to be seen.” should read “Artist M.”