ERRATA

In the April issue of the Review in discussing the measure of damages recoverable where a portion of a tract of land is taken under eminent domain, the rule was stated as the difference in the fair market value of the land immediately before and after the taking, less any special or general benefits accruing to the owner as a result of the utilization of the part taken. It was called to the editor's attention that the rule was incorrectly stated. The correct rule, as stated in Robinson v. Highway Comm'n, is the difference between the fair market value of the entire tract immediately before the taking and the fair market value of what is left after the taking. "The value of general and special benefits, if any, is not to be subtracted from such difference; but . . . the general and special benefits, if any, were elements for consideration in determining the fair market value of what was left immediately after the taking." 

2 Letter From the Attorney General of North Carolina to the editor, June 5, 1962.
4 Id. at 123, 105 S.E.2d at 289.