



UNC
SCHOOL OF LAW

NORTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW

Volume 76 | Number 5

Article 1

6-1-1998

Table of Contents--Issue 5

North Carolina Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

North Carolina Law Review, *Table of Contents--Issue 5*, 76 N.C. L. REV. (1998).

Available at: <http://scholarship.law.unc.edu/nclr/vol76/iss5/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Carolina Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Carolina Law Review by an authorized editor of Carolina Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact law_repository@unc.edu.

CONTENTS

SYMPOSIUM

INTRODUCTION	1519
TAKING CRITICAL TAX THEORY SERIOUSLY <i>Lawrence Zelenak</i>	1521
TAX THEORY AND “MERE CRITIQUE”: A REPLY TO PROFESSOR ZELENAK	<i>Nancy C. Staudt</i> 1581
THE ILLOGICAL AND SEXIST QTIP PROVISIONS: I JUST CAN’T SAY IT AIN’T SO	<i>Wendy C. Gerzog</i> 1597
THROUGH THE LOOKING GLASS WITH ALICE AND LARRY: THE NATURE OF SCHOLARSHIP.....	<i>Marjorie E. Kornhauser</i> 1609
EXPLORING THE MYSTERIES: CAN WE EVER KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT RACE AND TAX?	<i>Beverly I. Moran</i> 1629
REMARKABLE	<i>William C. Whitford</i> 1639
WHOSE PENSION IS IT ANYWAY? PROTECTING SPOUSAL RIGHTS IN A PRIVATIZED SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM	<i>Jonathan Barry Forman</i> 1653
A CRITICAL EVALUATION OF THE TAX CRITS.....	<i>James D. Bryce</i> 1687
A FEMINIST PERSPECTIVE ON THE QTIP TRUST AND THE UNLIMITED MARITAL DEDUCTION.....	<i>Joseph M. Dodge</i> 1729
TAKING CRITICAL TAX THEORY SERIOUSLY—A COMMENT.....	<i>Charles O. Galvin</i> 1749
CRITICAL THEORY AND THE LONELINESS OF THE TAX PROF	<i>Erik M. Jensen</i> 1753

TARGETS MISSED AND TARGETS HIT: CRITICAL TAX STUDIES AND EFFECTIVE TAX REFORM.....	<i>Steve R. Johnson</i>	1771
RADICAL SCHOLARS, CONSERVATIVE FIELD: PUTTING “CRITICAL TAX SCHOLARSHIP” IN PERSPECTIVE	<i>Michael A. Livingston</i>	1791
RACE AND THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX: HAS A DISPARATE IMPACT CASE BEEN MADE?.....	<i>Richard Schmalbeck</i>	1817
CRITICAL TAX THEORY: STILL NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY	<i>Nancy E. Shurtz</i>	1837

NOTES

THE SUPREME COURT GIVES AN ENDANGERED ACT NEW LIFE: <i>BENNETT V. SPEAR</i> AND ITS EFFECT ON ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT REFORM.....	<i>Deanne M. Barney</i>	1889
CLOSE ONLY COUNTS IN HORSESHOES, HAND GRENADES, AND . . . PATENTS?: THE SUPREME COURT UPHOLDS THE EACH-ELEMENT TEST OF THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS AND “CLARIFIES” THE ROLE OF PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL IN <i>WARNER-JENKINSON Co. v. HILTON</i> <i>DAVIS CHEMICAL Co.</i>	<i>Charles Robert Lewis</i>	1936
<i>KANSAS V. HENDRICKS</i> : THE SUPREME COURT’S ENDORSEMENT OF SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR STATUTES UNNECESSARILY EXPANDS STATE CIVIL COMMITMENT POWER.....	<i>Beverly Pearman</i>	1973